1	there. I will permit a response, Mr. Fitch. Let me just ask			
2	a question here first. Spousal attribution, you're not			
3	talking about spousal attribution of a diversification of			
4	media ownership sense?			
5	MR. SHUBERT: No, sir.			
6	JUDGE LUTON: No. You're talking about spousal			
7	attribution in some kind of sense which might suggest that			
8	Mrs. Willson is to be secretly or by operational law a part			
9	owner of this proposed station along with Mr. Willson?			
10	MR. SHUBERT: All of the other property			
11	JUDGE LUTON: Is that right?			
12	MR. SHUBERT: That's correct.			
13	JUDGE LUTON: That's the answer to my question? And			
14	when you say by operational law, what law are you talking			
15	about? The funds that			
16	MR. SHUBERT: The threshold that is community			
17	property states. Beyond that			
18	JUDGE LUTON: Well, let's deal with that one first.			
19	MR. SHUBERT: That well. You're asking			
20	JUDGE LUTON: Let's deal with that one first,			
21	community property state. That really doesn't apply, doesn't			
22	have any application, in Commission proceedings, does it?			
23	MR. SHUBERT: Absolutely Great Radio sets out			
24	thresholds that must be met in order to for the purposes of			
25	spousal attribution. Otherwise, spousal attribution will			

1	exist for the purposes of integration, and I submit to Your	
2	Honor that given all of the circumstances viewed as a whole	
3	JUDGE LUTON: But you're not saying anything about	
4	community property and that's what I asked you about.	
5	MR. SHUBERT: Well, as I say, all of the elements	
6	viewed as a whole go into showing that the spouse has to have	
7	some attribution in this proceeding, not for diversification,	
8	but for ownership, that in fact he has done nothing here to	
9	segregate out his accounts. He has taken and Absolutely	
10	Great Radio, I think, says that something to the effect	
11	that there has to be documentation prepared to indicate that	
12	the spouse gives up the interest to the other party. If the	
13	spouse does not, then that spouse becomes attributed with an	
14	interest in the property.	
15	JUDGE LUTON: Wow! By operation of what law?	
16	Commission law or	
17	MR. SHUBERT: By operation of the Commission's	
18	policies, yes, sir.	
19	JUDGE LUTON: So this is kind of a community	
20	property arrangement that has been devised by the Commission	
21	independently of any state in which	
22	MR. SHUBERT: It's only for the Commission's	
23	purposes, correct, in assessing the value to be awarded to a	
24	applicant's integration proposal.	
25	JUDGE LUTON: You've shown thus far, I believe, that	

1	Mr. Willson in providing his certification, and that is what
2	Mr. Willson provided, is it not, on that, a certification?
3	MR. SHUBERT: Yes. I'm not challenging his
4	financial the efficacy of his financial
5	JUDGE LUTON: We took a look at this and decided
6	that he could certify. It doesn't necessarily follow that
7	he's intending to rely on these funds. Has it been shown that
8	he intends to rely on his own funds to finance the station
9	operation?
10	MR. SHUBERT: Well, he was indicating he was relying
11	on this
12	JUDGE LUTON: In order to make certification?
13	MR. SHUBERT: Correct.
14	JUDGE LUTON: But that's as far as he went.
15	MR. SHUBERT: All right.
16	JUDGE LUTON: There are an awful lot of things that
17	went in your statement. I can't remember them. I'm losing
18	them now. Mr. Fitch, if you can remember what, what it is
19	that you had, go ahead, please.
20	MR. FITCH: Yeah. You know, I too would like to
21	just stick to one point at a time, so forgive me if I kind of
22	jump from one to another, but a number of issues here. First,
23	Absolutely Great Radio is somewhat a dated precedent at this
24	point. In fact, there is a recent Review Board Commission
25	decision which explicitly held that joint funds paid I

1	think in that case it was a wife's application had
2	absolutely no bearing on either real party and interest or
3	attribution of the wife's or husband's interest in the
4	application. I'd be happy to provide that case. Secondly, or
5	the face of this financial statement, Your Honor, even if you
6	wanted to go down the road that Mr. Shubert is going down, you
7	can half the current assets and there's still more than enough
8	
9	MR. SHUBERT: That's
10	MR. FITCH: for Mr. Willson, for Mr. Willson to
11	construct and operate this station. There as a matter of
12	law and as matter of fact, even applying community property
13	or, or half of the assets being his wife's, there's no basis
14	to conclude that, that Mrs. Willson is in any way involved in
15	this application.
16	MR. SHUBERT: I'm not saying she's involved
17	necessarily, Your Honor. Excuse me for leaping in here. I
18	should I'll keep my mouth shut.
19	JUDGE LUTON: Well, what is it that you are saying
20	that this tends to show, the fact that Mr. Willson relied on a
21	joint financial statement, his and his wife's in order to
22	decide that he could certify?
23	MR. SHUBERT: Again, it doesn't go to the financial
24	certification.
25	JUDGE LUTON: Oh, I know.

	213
1	MR. SHUBERT: It has nothing to do with that.
2	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. Well then, then we've got a
3	joint financial statement here. It shows what it shows and
4	that it is the joint financial statement of Mr. and Mrs.
5	Willson and it's
6	MR. SHUBERT: Their assets that they will,
7	therefore, be relying on.
8	JUDGE LUTON: Oh, that he's relying on.
9	MR. SHUBERT: Right.
10	JUDGE LUTON: That he relied on it in what way?
11	MR. SHUBERT: That it is their assets that make up
12	the basis of his reliance, he has written a check on a
13	joint account, not on a separate account. He is relying on
14	joint assets. The pattern is that all of the other businesses
15	that they've owned are co-owned businesses. These are all
16	conclusions or things that I will try to draw, and that is the
17	purpose of this document. I submit that it is relevant to the
18	issue that we are trying here.
19	JUDGE LUTON: Well, how is it relevant?
20	MR. SHUBERT: Well, unless I missed something,
21	integration is relevant and this relates to his integration
22	JUDGE LUTON: I'm not asking you how integration is
23	relevant. I'm asking you to tell me since you say this
24	relates to his integration, tell me how this, meaning the
25	financial statement, relates to Mr. Willson's integration

1	proposal.
2	MR. SHUBERT: That I will craft an argument that
3	indicates that because of all of the factors that relate to
4	evidence, because of state law implications, because of actual
5	reliance on co-mingled funds, that Mr. Willson is not entitled
6	to 100 percent integration, that his integration should be at
7	best 50 percent.
8	JUDGE LUTON: And to get to that conclusion what
9	would you have to determine about his wife's involvement?
10	MR. SHUBERT: Only that he is relying on her assets.
11	MR. FITCH: Your Honor, that's bad law.
12	JUDGE LUTON: Geez.
13	MR. FITCH: It's bad law.
14	JUDGE LUTON: I think so.
15	MR. FITCH: It's not current law.
16	MS. ROSENAU: Has it ever been overruled?
17	MR. SHUBERT: Absolutely Great is still valid law.
18	It hasn't been overruled. I submit to the to you that.
19	You show me a case that
20	MR. FITCH: I mentioned one. I'd be happy to dig it
21	up.
22	MR. SHUBERT: It doesn't overrule Absolutely Great
23	and I can distinguish the facts.
24	MR. FITCH: What well, I'm not going to get into
25	the

1 MR. SHUBERT: Sure. We don't want to argue that 2 here. JUDGE LUTON: Well, I think that would be a very 3 4 tough argument to make, but I'm not going to preclude you from 5 making that argument, Mr. Shubert. I'm going to accept this 6 offering here with the understanding that it is -- and on 7 condition that it has nothing to do with financial issues of 8 which there is not one against Willson. It's not offered for 9 that purpose. Instead it is offered upon some theory of 10 spousal attribution which, if adopted, would somehow reduce 11 the quantivating (phonetic sp.) integration credit to which 12 Mr. Willson might otherwise be entitled to to 50 percent. All 13 right? 14 That's correct. Or something -- let's MR. SHUBERT: 15 put it this way, something less than 100 percent. 16 JUDGE LUTON: Something less than 100 percent, yeah. 17 Well, all right. I'm going to receive it and permit -- the 18 argument can be made. I got to tell you right now I just 19 don't see that the Commission has any difficulty at all with 20 married applicants relying on -- for purposes of mere 21 financial certification, deciding that they can do it, 22 whatever, one party to the marriage utilizing a statement of 23 assets jointly owned. I just don't understand that the 24 Commission has any kind of difficulty with that. As I say, I 25 think that's a difficult argument to make, but you'll have

1	your chance to make it.	
2	MR. SHUBERT: Thank you, Your Honor.	
3	JUDGE LUTON: So I'm going to receive what will be	
4	Moonbeam's Exhibit 4.	
5	MR. SHUBERT: Thank you, Your Honor.	
6	(The document that was previously	
7	marked for identification as	
8	Moonbeam's Exhibit No. 4 was received	
9	into evidence.)	
10	MR. FITCH: Your Honor, and again just for record	
11	purposes, I just want to make sure my objection is noted for	
12	the record.	
13	JUDGE LUTON: All right.	
14	BY MR. SHUBERT:	
15	Q Mr. Willson, is this an accurate representation as	
16	of the date of this document of the assets of you and your	
17	wife?	
18	A Yes.	
19	Q And these are the assets upon which you were going	
20	to rely	
21	A Yes.	
22	Q in connection with your application?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q I don't remember if I framed the question properly,	
25	whether I said these were assets and liabilities. It's an	

	annuments manuscratting of the lightlifting of the lightlifting	
1	accurate representation of the liabilities, as well?	
2	A Yes.	
3	JUDGE LUTON: Mr. Shubert, the check, Moonbeam 3,	
4	the check and the this financial statement, they're both	
5	really offered by Moonbeam as some evidence on the same point?	
6	Is that right? That's what you're talking about?	
7	MR. SHUBERT: That's correct, Your Honor.	
8	JUDGE LUTON: Okay. I just wanted to be clear.	
9	Thank you.	
10	BY MR. SHUBERT:	
11	Q Mr. Willson, you've been in several FCC proceedings	
12	before, have you not?	
13	A Yes, sir.	
14	Q And you've been a licensee of an FCC licensed radio	
15	station?	
16	A Yeah.	
17	Q So you're completely aware and you understand the	
18	need for accuracy in the Commission's in representations	
19	made to the Commission?	
20	A Correct.	
21	Q Mr. Willson, how many employees do you intend to	
22	utilize with your radio station?	
23	A Maybe seven or eight.	
24	Q And are you aware of the positions that those	
25	employees are going to fill?	

		237
1	A	Yes.
2	Q	Are those full-time or part-time employees?
3	A	Four full-time and three part-time.
4	Q	And that includes yourself?
5	<b>A</b> .	Yes.
6	Q	Did there not come a time during the depositions
7	that we ha	ad in June of 1993 where you indicated to me, not
8	including	the General Manager, four full-time positions?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Are you still going to have those four full-time
11	positions	at the radio station?
12	A	No. That was corrected to say the four included me.
13	That was i	my error.
14	Ω	You corrected the number. You didn't correct the
15	position.	If you would like I'll take you back to the
16	deposition	n where we can review that.
17	A	Okay.
18		MR. SHUBERT: Do you have a copy of the witness'
19	deposition	n?
20		MR. FITCH: What page, counsel?
21		MR. SHUBERT: Page 110, I believe it is. Oh, I'm
22	sorry. Pa	age it's either 99 or 100. It's page 101.
23		MR. FITCH: Your Honor, I don't have a copy so if
24	you don't	mind if I can
25		MR. SHUBERT: I have a copy.

1	MR. FITCH: Oh, okay. I see what you're saying.
2	
	BY MR. SHUBERT:
3	Q Now, on page 101 you indicated the titles of the
4	people who would be your full-time employees. I think you
5	indicated that they would be Production Manager, Program
6	Director/Combo Salesman and a Sales Manager/Salesman.
7	Correct?
8	A Um-hum.
9	Q Then there's yourself, correct, as General Manager?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Now you're saying that you're not having one of
12	those positions, is that what you're saying, because you're
13	having only four full-time employees?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Which position are you going to eliminate?
16	A The probably the Program Director/Combination
17	Salesman.
18	Q So you're going to have no Program Director?
19	A The Production Manager would assume the Program
20	Director role also.
21	Q But wasn't there a time in your mind where you
22	believed that the duties and responsibilities would require
23	two people?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And it's not going to require two people anymore?

1	A	No.
2	Q	What has changed?
3	A	Well, the Program Director and Production Manager
4	can be th	e same person.
5	Q	And handle all of the duties that previously two
6	people, y	ou thought in your mind, would handle?
7	A	This is a very small radio station.
8	Q	That doesn't answer the question. We're talking
9	about dut	ies and responsibilities.
10	A	Ask the question one more time.
11	Q	That the duties and responsibilities that two people
12	were h	andled could now be handled by one?
13	A	Correct.
14	Q	And what is the reason for that your change in
15	thought?	
16	A	Because a Program Director and Production Manager
17	fit together under one role.	
18	Q	The duties haven't been reduced, have they?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Now, directing your attention to your integration
21	statement	or the integration portion of your direct exhibit,
22	page 1, W	illson Exhibit No. 1, first paragraph, do you have
23	that in f	ront of you, sir?
24	A	Oh, the direct case here?
25	Q	Direct case.

		231	
1	A	Yes.	
2	Q	Item 2, Integration, third sentence.	
3	A	Yes.	
4	Q	Now, it says there that you're going to that,	
5	"among the	e operations to be managed by you are programming,	
6	administra	ation, personnel, sales, budgeting and engineering."	
7	Correct?		
8	A	Yes.	
9	Q	Are you going to have people for all of those areas?	
10	A	Not necessarily. I generally supervise all of those	
11	areas. The	hat's what I'm saying.	
12	Q	Well, who will you be supervising?	
13	A	I just outlined it. I said I would be supervising a	
14	Program D	irector/Combination Production Manager. I'd have a	
15	Sales Manager/Announcer and I'd have a Office		
16	Manager/Bookkeeper. Those would be the		
17	Q	So we now have an Office Manager/Bookkeeper?	
18	A	Yes.	
19	Q	Is this a full-time person?	
20	A	Yes.	
21	Q	This is in addition to the Program Manager/Program	
22	Director,	a Salesman and yourself, and you're going to have an	
23	Office Ma	nager now? Is that correct?	
24		JUDGE LUTON: Just one moment.	
25		MR. SHUBERT: I'll withdraw the question.	

		230
1		BY MR. SHUBERT:
2	Q	Are you going to have an Engineer?
3	A	Contract Engineer, yes.
4	Q	Part-time?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Are any of the other people in the station going to
7	have respo	onsibility for hiring and firing?
8	A	No.
9	Q	You will do that exclusively for all departments?
10	Ä	Yes.
11	Q	Do you have a copy of your integration statement
12	there that	t was prepared by Mr. Fitch or signed by Mr. Fitch?
13	A	No.
14	Q	Do you have the erratum to that?
15		MR. FITCH: No, he does not.
16		WITNESS: Yes.
17		BY MR. SHUBERT:
18	Q	Now, there were two erratums filed to that, weren't
19	there, one	e on April 8th and one on April 15th?
20	A	We just have the April 7th before us.
21	Q	Oh, April 7th and April 15th. You don't have the
22	other one	?
23	A	It's further errata?
24	Ω	Now, did you see that integration statement when it
25	was first	filed?

1		MR. FITCH: Which integration statement?
2		MR. SHUBERT: The one dated on April 5, 1993.
3		WITNESS: Yes. I review everything before it's
4	submitted	•• ••
5		BY MR. SHUBERT:
6	Q	You saw it before it was filed?
7	A	Yep.
8	Q	You didn't notice the errors?
9	A	Errors that
10	Q	Errors that were later corrected, errors that
11	related t	o your interests, personal?
12	A	No, I didn't notice it.
13	Q	But you knew they weren't correct, didn't you?
14	A	You'll have to roll this by me one more time.
15	Q	You knew that there was information in there that
16	wasn't co	rrect, didn't you?
17		MR. FITCH: At what, at what point in time, Your
18	Honor?	
19		MR. SHUBERT: Well, immediately after April 5 he
20	knew it w	asn't correct because on April 8th an amendment was
21	filed.	
22	:	WITNESS: Correct.
23		BY MR. SHUBERT:
24	Q	And then there was another amendment filed ten days
25	later	seven days later.

		250
1	A	Right, with little typographic errors, yes.
2	Q	But it wasn't correct? Yes or no? This is not a
3	hard ques	tion. Yes or no?
4	A	There were changes and there were corrections, yes.
5	Everythin	g I see and reviewed before it was submitted, if
6	that's wh	at you're asking me.
7	Q	Are you planning an automated radio operation?
8	A	No, sir.
9	Q	You're going to have a full-time operation?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	You're going to run it 24 hours a day?
12	A	Probably.
13	Q	Are you going to be doing selling?
14	A	I will be out on the street from time to time, yes.
15	Q	Did you sell at your last radio station?
16	A	Not really. I believe as a General Manager you
17	should be	in the field and you should know your clients and I
18	tried to	assist salespeople and Sales Manager on the methods
19	that are	proper. I have a long background of sales management
20	and sales	, so my direction, my input, and I think they should
21	see in th	e field the people that buy my buy time from me,
22	see me in	person from time to time. That's not done
23	regularly	, but I am out in the field once in awhile.
24	Q	Is it safe to say that this radio station for
25	Calistoga	you're going to operate it pretty much as you did

1	the one i	n Hanford?
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And how will they differ?
4	A	Entirely different operation, entirely different
5	day. Thi	ngs have changed a lot since 1981.
6	Q	But
7	A	Sales, computers, a lot of different things have
8	changed.	
9	Q	So you've got a different philosophy on the way the
10	station i	s going to be run?
11	A	I'll look at it at the time that I put it on the
12	air.	
13	Q	You haven't formulated a philosophy at this point?
14	A	Philosophy? What do you mean philosophy?
15	Q	Of this radio station.
16	A	I don't know what that means.
17	Q	Well, you've got to have plans for the radio
18	station,	don't you?
19	A	To construct it and operate it, yes. I'm not going
20	to divulg	e my programming plans or what I'm going to do with
21	the stati	on at this time, no.
22	Q	Do you know?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Who's going to be doing the announcing at the
25	station?	Are you going to do announcing?

1	. <b>A</b>	No.
2		MR. SHUBERT: If I could a minute, Your Honor, just
3	to finish	up here?
4		JUDGE LUTON: Yes.
5		MR. SHUBERT: I have no further questions at this
6	point, You	ur Honor.
7		JUDGE LUTON: Redirect.
8		MR. FITCH: Yes, Your Honor. All right. Your
9	Honor, I'	we got a couple of questions to follow up on this
10	whole Abso	olutely Great theory.
11		REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12		BY MR. FITCH:
13	Q	Mr. Willson, did your wife have any has your wife
14	had any i	nvolvement in your application?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Has she, has she assisted you in any way in
17	obtaining	a site for your application?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Has she assisted you in any way in preparing cost
20	estimates	?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Has she had
23	A	Other than to excuse me other than to update
24	what is i	n our various accounts.
25	Q	All right. Has she to the best of your knowledge

1	ever had	any contact with your engineer or your counsel?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Do you seek advice from her concerning this
4	applicat	ion?
5		MR. SHUBERT: Objection, leading question.
6		BY MR. FITCH:
7	Q	Have you ever sought advice from her concerning this
8	applicat	ion?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Did, did your wife have any ownership interest,
11	stock in	terest, in the Hanford station?
12	A	No. All the stock was in my name.
13	Ω	Fine. And is it safe to say well, leading
14	question	. Where what is the largest source of money in
15	your fin	nancial statement, money or assets in your financial
16	statemen	it?
17	A	Money market funds and stock.
18	Q	I know, but where did that where did it come
19	from?	
20	A	Where did it come from?
21	Ω	Yeah. Where how did it where did it come
22	from?	
23	A	The money that's in these accounts?
24	Ω	Yes. Where did the bulk of that money come from?
25	A	I don't know what that means.

		264
1	Q	Did you sell your radio station in Hanford?
2	A	Yes, I did.
3	Q	Did you make a profit on the sale of that station?
4	A	Yes, I did.
5	Q	Was it a significant amount of money?
6	A	A significant amount of money, yes.
7	Q	And is that money now reflected in your financial
8	statement	?
9	A	Yes, a great deal of it is. Money from that plus
10	the profit	t from my businesses.
11	Q	Has does your wife have any intention at all of
12	being invo	olved in the radio station in Calistoga?
13	A	No.
14		MR. SHUBERT: Objection on the grounds that
15		MR. FITCH: It's already been answered, Your Honor.
16		MR. SHUBERT: Then I move that the answer be
17	stricken,	Your Honor. He just testified as to his wife's
18	intention	в.
19		MR. FITCH: I'll rephrase it.
20		JUDGE LUTON: Please so.
21		BY MR. FITCH:
22	Q	Has your wife ever indicated to you any interest or
23	desire to	work at the Calistoga station?
24	A	No.
25	Q	Has she indicated to you any interest in having any

1	ownership	in the Calistoga station?
2	A	No.
3	Q	Do you intend that she have an ownership interest in
4	the Calist	toga station?
5	A	No.
6		MR. FITCH: Your Honor, there are some questions on
7	civic act	ivities, as you are well aware.
8		BY MR. FITCH:
9	Q	Mr. Willson, you were asked a number of questions
10	about civ	ic activities in other areas outside of Calistoga.
11	Have you p	participated in civic activities which you have not
12		
13	A	I certainly have.
14	Ω	referred to?
15	A	I certainly have.
16	Ω	Can you would you please let the Court know what
17	those act:	ivities might be?
18	A	Well, I was a participant and main operator in the
19	Junior Cha	amber of Commerce in Flint, Michigan and participated
20	in the Ms	. Flint contest, coordinated it with Nancy Kolvack
21	(phonetic	sp.), the went on to the Miss America contest.
22	The Junion	r Chamber of Commerce is a civic organization. I
23	have a lo	ng record with them when I worked at K WKMF in
24	Flint.	I also had, you know, civic ties a long time ago with
25	the Lion's	s Club, the Moose Lodge, the Elk's Club over the

1	years.	
2	Ω	Mr. Willson, will there be any kind of automation
3	equipment	at your station?
4	A	I don't have any present plans for automation. It
5	could happ	pen.
6	Q	And what would that depend on?
7	A	It would depend on the marketplace when the
8	station's	ready for operation.
9		MR. FITCH: That's all I have, Your Honor.
10		JUDGE LUTON: Recross?
11		RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12		BY MR. SHUBERT:
13	Q	Mr. Willson, when did you live in Michigan?
14	A	Up until 1958.
15	Q	So your involvement in the Chamber of Commerce in
16	Flint was	
17	A	Prior to 1958.
18	Q	How about the Lion's Club you mentioned? Was that
19	in Michiga	an?
20	A	I can't recall. I think I was in the Lion's Club or
21	the Elk's	Club when I worked in Sacramento at either one of
22	the radio	stations or at Channel 10, probably the radio
23	station.	
24	Q	You're sure that you were a member when you worked
25	at those I	radio stations?

1	A	Again, I can't recall exactly. I just know that I
2	was a memi	ber.
3	Q	Could it have been while you were working in
4	Michigan?	You worked for several radio stations.
5	A	Yes. I know I was I just can't recall. It was a
6	long time	ago.
7	Q	Would be it be fair to say that the civic
8	involvemen	nt that you just recounted in response to your
9	counsel's	questions was more than 25 years ago?
10	A	Yes, if you yes.
11	Q	Your wife has paid the lawyer's bills, has she not,
12	in connect	tion with your application?
13	A	She signs the checks.
14	Q	She writes the checks?
15	A	Um-hum.
16	Q	And how does she find out how much the checks are to
17	write?	
18	A	The bills are put on her desk.
19	Q	And they're put on her desk by whom?
20	A	Me. All bills come to me.
21	Q	And she pays all of the funds?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	She does all the disbursements?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	Does she do the disbursements for the other

1	businesse	s?
2	A	No.
3	Q	She doesn't handle the payables?
4	À	No.
5	Q	She just handles the payables of the
6	A	Personal household, yes.
7	Q	Your personal household?
8	A	Yes, under my direction.
9	Q	She reviewed the financial statement that has been
10	marked an	d identified as Moonbeam Exhibit No. 4?
11	A	She certainly did.
12	Q	Do you know if she was aware of what the purpose of
13	that fina	ncial statement was?
14		MR. FITCH: Your Honor, this isn't proper recross.
15	It has no	thing to do with me redirect.
16		JUDGE LUTON: That seems to be going beyond the
17		MR. SHUBERT: He was talking about what the wife did
18	and wheth	er or not she had been involved in the application,
19	Your Hono	r, and I'm talking about her
20		JUDGE LUTON: All right.
21		MR. SHUBERT: if she knew what was involved in
22	the appli	cation.
23		JUDGE LUTON: I'll permit it. Overruled.
24		WITNESS: The question again?
25		BY MR. SHUBERT:

1	Q The question was is did she know what the purpose of
2	the financial statement was?
3	A Certainly.
4	Q So she knew why she was participating in that, that
5	activity?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Do you maintain any joint accounts or any
8	separate checking accounts from your wife?
9	A I have to think about that for a moment. You mean
10	checking accounts that she doesn't sign on?
11	Q Right.
12	A I can't think of any.
13	Q So the answer would be no?
14	A Checking accounts, right.
15	Q The answer is just to make sure we've got a clear
16	record here, the answer is no, there are no separate accounts?
17	A Checking accounts.
18	Q Checking accounts.
19	A Yes.
20	MR. SHUBERT: I have no further questions.
21	JUDGE LUTON: Thank you, Mr. Willson. You may step
22	down.
23	(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
24	JUDGE LUTON: We're going to recess now. We'll have
25	to reconvene this hearing at some later time unless matters